

^c oals from the station according to agreement. The defendant, in the presence of several witnesses, intimated that

^c oals from the station according to agreement. The defendant, in the presence of several witnesses, intimated that

A Danish ship with a flag of truce arrived at midnight on Tuesday carrying a despatch from the British Government, marking a suspension of hostilities.

The Ministry of War has published the following report:—

Reports received by the Government from the Danish Government announce that an attack was made by the Prussian army on the Danish frontier on the 3rd instant, at Løndby, south of Aalborg. In the engagement which ensued the Prussian army was defeated and General Hammerberg was killed.

Our loss in men amounted to eight killed and wounded.

One Prussian foot soldier was taken prisoner.

Later reports state that after the engagement the Prussian army retreated by way of Lindsbøl upon Højer, taking with them a few prisoners and wounded. Nothing was reported from Fulhøje.

MEXICO.

A letter from Mexico in the *Times*, states that Emperor Maximilian and the Empress had even been received with the greatest attention.

[illegible][illegible]

NISS PRINCE COURT. — **TUESDAY.**
 The above listed Court opened at 10 o'clock.
 His lordship sat at his seat at 9 o'clock.
STOKED L. APOKROKOTI.
 This was an action for the recovery of a shop and her
 at Bernard Castle. Mr. Udal appeared for the plaintiff.
 Mr. Mayfield appeared for the defendant. The plaintiff
 the plaintiff, who is executor of the will of the late
 defendant, who is a gentleman, and the property was in her possession.
 case did not prove fact, a verdict being taken by the
 court.
TANKER, S. J. L.
 This was an action for the recovery of a shop and her
 Mr. Mayfield for the plaintiff; Mr. Dawson for the defendant.
 The plaintiff, who is executor of the will of the late
 being station master at the same place. An agreement
 was made between the plaintiff and the defendant, by
 her ladyship's agents were to take the plaintiff's cook's
 they had notice of their own standing
 the plaintiff. The plaintiff and
 defendant had had several quarrels respecting the late
 the Queen's Hotel. The plaintiff's cook was
 defendant's cartman took two wagons of the plaintiff's
 cook's, and the plaintiff, a few days afterwards, took back

and was paid compensation for the injuries sustained by him in attending his wife. The railway company did not deny that the accident was caused by the negligence of the driver, but the case was not caused by the accident on the railway, as that was the point which the jury would be required to decide. As to the causes and symptoms of this disease the case was considered in the light of medical testimony. The jury returned a verdict in favour of the plaintiff and awarded him costs of £400.

ECOLOGICAL COMMISSIONERS v. FRANK
This was an action raised at the instance of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England and Wales against the defendants, Messrs. Frank, trespass on Brewhouse Moor, and the action was also brought to raise the question as to the right to the ground on which the defendants had been trespassing. Mr. G. M. Kempster, Mr. Manisty, Q.C., and Mr. Davison, appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. G. M. Kempster appeared for the defendant. The action was to try whether the defendants had trespassed on Brewhouse Moor, and under the jurisdiction of the Bishop of Durham, but by Act of Parliament, vested in the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England and Wales. The case was not completed when the Court rose, so no more was said on Thursday morning at nine o'clock.

[illegible]

[illegible]

