
usell has les

[illegible]

liberal party,

...and whose support is
...further. When it is
...certainty has been thro-
...of knowledge I have
...Mr Westwether Beas-
...North Westumberland
...I think it desirable
...for persons for declining
...meeting which appears to
...yourself and others, I
...reason that I believe a
...that in order to prevent
...support of our conversa-
...to this letter. Yr
...of the late govern-
...differences of opinion
...the extension of the
...achieve in countries
...where was a comparative
...for a re-distribution
...variably voted against
...was born of opinion
...Middleborough, Har-
...others should be enfran-
...all which did not pro-
...could be unworthy of
...the most rational
...national proof, if such be
...criticisms of the urban-
...where the subject is
...be the numerous assen-
...the feeling among those
...can not without hope

used to the re-

At a meeting held under the presidency of the speakers were passed: "The 1845-1850's Governors' distributions of seats will be the basis of the new bill for the extension of the franchise to the 'unrepresented.' " That the provisions of the Government are expected to be requested strenuously, and that the Government's influence to induce the most liberal interpretation of the Bill, Mr Bright, speaking, sent the following letter to Mr Lloyd:

My dear Mr Lloyd, I am feeling more morose, night on the sentiments of the country, I cannot say how few I am. I have a few lines to you. The Bill is a perfect home. The votes must be extensive. The working class enthusiasm for Reform Act of 1832. It is not that they are as a class will not be extensively excluded and it will be to enfranchise 20 per cent of the population of the country of landholders and not occupiers of houses and the number in London and the number of the population of the country of the franchise to many not in the Reform Act. I say it

measure. It has
ated the bill
not like the

[illegible]

on a more
attention to the

A conference of the various took place at Montreal where upon all the members of the Montreal Franchise Bill were present. Bright addressed the conference, pointing out the excellent basis of the bill. He regretted, as others did, that the bill was not in the position to any other place. We had never had any since the 1870s. He pointed out the distinctions in the bill, and the fact that it was not a simple bill. He regretted, as others did, that the bill was not in the position to any other place. We had never had any since the 1870s. He pointed out the distinctions in the bill, and the fact that it was not a simple bill.

100



